



Arthur Berkey Science Fair

Presentation Scoresheet and Rubric

Student Researcher(s) _____

Category _____ Division _____

Area	High points 20-15 points	Medium points 14-9 points	Low points 8-0 points	Points Earned
Introduction or Importance	Introduction or importance answers the question "Why was the work done?" The presenter(s) clearly states the problem that justifies conducting the research, the purpose of the research, its impact on AFNR, and the findings of earlier work and the general approach and objectives.	Introduction or importance answers the question "Why was the work done?" The presenter(s) vaguely states the problem that justifies conducting the research, the purpose of the research, its impact on AFNR, and the findings of earlier work and the general approach and objectives.	Introduction or importance does not answer the question "Why was the work done?" The presenter(s) does not state the problem that justifies conducting the research, the purpose of the research, its impact on AFNR, and the findings of earlier work and the general approach and objectives.	
Literature or Other's Work	The literature details what information currently exists concerning the research project. The information includes material cited such as articles about similar studies, similar research methods, history of the research area, and other appropriate information that supports the basis for the topic.	The literature lacks detail of what information currently exists concerning the research project. The information may not include material cited such as articles about similar studies, similar research methods, history of the research area, and other appropriate information that supports the basis for the topic.	The literature does not detail what information currently exists concerning the research project. The information does not include material cited such as articles about similar studies, similar research methods, history of the research area, and other appropriate information that supports the basis for the topic.	
Materials and Method	Clearly presented to enable others to replicate the study and results. Encompasses all materials required, states the hypothesis/research questions and explains the study design. If used, statistical procedures are included.	Not clearly presented to enable others to replicate the study and results. May not encompass all materials required, states the hypothesis/research questions and explains the study design. If used, statistical procedures are included.	Poorly presented so others cannot replicate the study and results. Does not have materials required, states the hypothesis/research questions and explains the study design. If used, statistical procedures are included.	
Results	Results of the project are summarized. Trends and relationships are clearly addressed. Data can be in the form of tables or figures.	Results of the project are incomplete. Trends and relationships are not clearly addressed. Data can be in the form of tables or figures.	Results of the project are not summarized. Trends and relationships are not provided.	

Area	High points 20-15 points	Medium points 14-9 points	Low points 8-0 points	Points Earned
Discussion and Conclusions	Brief summary of results included and shows relationships to the foundation of the study. Incorporates previous literature and relates to hypothesis/research questions. Provides recommendations for future research and impact on AFNR industry.	Brief summary of results included and unclear relationships to the foundation of the study. Incorporates limited previous literature and limitedly related to hypothesis/research questions. Provides few recommendations for future research and impact on AFNR industry.	No summary of results included and unclear relationships to the foundation of the study. Incorporates no previous literature and does not relate to hypothesis/research questions. Provides few recommendations for future research and impact on AFNR industry.	
Presentation Quality	Presentation is unique with appropriate information.	Presentation lacks unique approach with limited appropriate information.	Little to no presentation provided and lacks any creativity.	
Clarity of Presenter	Presenter(s) clearly explains the above required components of the presentation.	Presenter(s) lacks a clear explains the above required components of the presentation.	Presenter(s) does not explain the above required components of the presentation.	
Judge's Questions	Presenter(s) clearly answer questions with appropriate clarity.	Presenter(s) answers to questions lack clarity.	Presenter(s) does not answer questions with appropriate clarity.	
PRESENTATION TOTAL POINTS (160 POINTS POSSIBLE)				

Presentation Feedback: